


KENYA JIKO KISASA IMPROVED COOKSTOVE PROGRAM I



Document Prepared by Guangzhou Iceberg Environmental Consulting Services Co., Ltd.

Project Title	Kenya Jiko Kisasa Improved Cookstove Program I	
Version	01	
Date of Issue	15/05/2022	
Prepared By	Guangzhou Iceberg Environmental Consulting Services Co., Ltd.	
Contact	Address: No.106 Fengze East Road, Nansha District, Guangzhou, China	
	Telephone: +8613560420840	
	Email: baoji@icebergchina.com; hanjin@icebergchina.com	

CONTENTS

1	P	ROJECT DETAILS	4
	1.1	Summary Description of the Project	4
	1.2	Sectoral Scope and Project Type	4
	1.3	Project Eligibility	4
	1.4	Project Design	5
	1.5	Project Proponent	5
	1.6	Other Entities Involved in the Project	5
	1.7	Ownership	5
	1.8	Project Start Date	5
	1.9	Project Crediting Period	6
	1.10	Project Scale and Estimated GHG Emission Reductions or Removals	6
	1.11	Description of the Project Activity	6
	1.12	Project Location	8
	1.13	Conditions Prior to Project Initiation	8
	1.14	Compliance with Laws, Statutes and Other Regulatory Frameworks	9
	1.15	Participation under Other GHG Programs	9
	1.16	Other Forms of Credit	9
	1.17	Sustainable Development Contributions	9
	1.18	Additional Information Relevant to the Project	11
2	S	AFEGUARDS	11
	2.1	No Net Harm	12
	2.2	Local Stakeholder Consultation	12
	2.3	Environmental Impact	12
	2.4	Public Comments	12
	2.5	AFOLU-Specific Safeguards	12
3	A	APPLICATION OF METHODOLOGY	12
	3.1	Title and Reference of Methodology	12
	3.2	Applicability of Methodology	12
	3.3	Project Boundary	14
	3.4	Baseline Scenario	16
	3.5	Additionality	1.6

	3.6	Methodology Deviations	17
4	(QUANTIFICATION OF GHG EMISSION REDUCTIONS AND REMOVALS	17
	4.1	Baseline Emissions	17
	4.2	Project Emissions	17
	4.3	Leakage	17
	4.4	Net GHG Emission Reductions and Removals	17
5		MONITORING	22
	5.1	Data and Parameters Available at Validation	22
	5.2	Data and Parameters Monitored	26
	5.3	Monitoring Plan	29

1 PROJECT DETAILS

1.1 Summary Description of the Project

The project involves distribution of fuel-efficient Jiko Kisasa improved cookstoves (hereinafter referred to as "ICSs") in the Republic of Kenya. The ICSs disseminated through this project will replace the old low efficient baseline cookstoves. The ICSs will be produced by local factories.

Through this project, Guangzhou Iceberg Environmental Consulting Services Co., Ltd. (hereinafter referred to as "Iceberg") will distribute approximately 100,000 ICSs free of charge to households in Kenya in 2 years.

Before the implementation of the project, local people mostly use traditional solid-fuel cooking solutions such as open fire or three-stone fires. They spend plenty of time to collect firewood every day due to low combustion efficiency of baseline cooking devices. The ICSs will burn wood more efficiently thereby improving thermal transfer to pots, hence saving firewood. The project will reduce the GHG emission by less non-renewable firewood combustion as well as slowing the rapidly progressing deforestation in Kenya.

The baseline scenario existing prior to the implementation of the project is widely used traditional solid-fuel cooking solutions such as open fire or three-stone fires. Due to low income, people will continue to use them to meet thermal energy needs without project activity.

The average annual GHG emission reduction from the project is expected to be $196,346 \text{ tCO}_{2}e$, the crediting period is expected to be 10 years. The total GHG emission reduction is $1,963,464 \text{ tCO}_{2}e$.

1.2 Sectoral Scope and Project Type

The project is categorised under type/category as below:

a) Sectoral scope: 03 - Energy demand

b) Type: II - Energy efficiency improvement projects

The project is not a grouped project.

1.3 Project Eligibility

The project involves replacing traditional cooking solutions with fuel-efficient improved cookstoves which falls into the category of efficiency improvements in thermal applications., According to VCS Standard Version 4.2, efficiency improvements in thermal applications (e.g., cook stoves) are not excluded, therefore it is eligible under the scope of VCS Program.



1.4 Project Design

The project includes a single location or installation only
oximes The project includes multiple locations or project activity instances, but is not being
developed as a grouped project
☐ The project is a grouped project

Eligibility Criteria

Not applicable because the project activity is not a grouped project.

1.5 Project Proponent

Organization name	Guangzhou Iceberg Environmental Consulting Services Co., Ltd.	
Contact person	Ji BAO	
Title General Manager		
Address	ddress No.106 Fengze East Road, Nansha District, Guangzhou, China	
Telephone +8613560420840		
Email baoji@icebergchina.com; hanjin@icebergchina.com		

1.6 Other Entities Involved in the Project

No other entities involved.

1.7 Ownership

The project ownership belongs to Guangzhou Iceberg Environmental Consulting Services Co., Ltd.

The ICSs are distributed to end users (Households) free of charge. The end users are informed in advance that the use of ICS generates carbon finance which in turn is used to cover the cost of ICS production and distribution. The participating households, ICS manufacturers and distributors as well as Iceberg will sign donation and carbon transfer agreements to the ownership of the carbon assets generated from this project belongs to Iceberg. The agreement has been submitted to confirm that cook stove ownership is with Guangzhou Iceberg Ltd.

1.8 Project Start Date

01/06/2022 (expected start date for commissioning of the first batch of ICS).



1.9 Project Crediting Period

10 years fixed crediting period from 01/06/2022 to 31/05/2032.

1.10 Project Scale and Estimated GHG Emission Reductions or Removals

Project Scale	
Project	
Large project	Χ

Year	Estimated GHG emission reductions or removals (tCO 2e)
Year 2022	73,201
Year 2023	202,649
Year 2024	242,478
Year 2025	210,168
Year 2026	178,903
Year 2027	188,417
Year 2028	236,100
Year 2029	242,478
Year 2030	210,168
Year 2031	178,903
Total estimated ERs	1,963,464
Total number of crediting years	10
Average annual ERs	196,346

1.11 Description of the Project Activity

Before the implementation of the project, local people in the project location use use traditional solid-fuel cooking solutions such as open fire or three-stone fires. The project will distribute 100,000 fuel-efficient improved cookstoves (ICS) in 2 years to replace the baseline cookstoves in households.



The ICS will continue to consume non-renewable biomass for cooking, but the ICS will consume less wood fuel to meet thermal needs as it has higher thermal efficiency and it will result in a reduction of GHG emissions compared to the baseline scenario.

Technology

The type of ICS distributed in the project is Jiko Kisasa improved cookstove. According to independent stove efficiency tests performed by KIRDI Stove Testing Centre in Kenya on the Jiko Kisasa improved cookstove, the thermal efficiency is 27.2%.

The cooking system is made of a combustion chamber, a pot rest and an air inlet window. The stove has no bottom utilizing the ground surface where it is placed as a bottom. The stove weighed approximately 8251 grams.

No.	Parameter	Value
1	High power thermal efficiency	27.2%
2	Life span	7 years

Table 1: Technical Specification of Jiko Kisasa Improved Cookstove

All ICSs will be produced in local factories, then they will be distributed to households free of charge.



Figure 1: Photo of Jiko Kisasa ICS

The lifetime of Jiko Kisasa improved cookstove is about 7 years according to manufacturer specifications. In the sixth year after ICSs distribution, the project proponent will provide a new ICS to Households without cost, so that households will have access to them for the full crediting period.



1.12 Project Location

The project location will be in the Republic of Kenya.

Table 2: Geographical coordinates of the Republic of Kenya

Orientation	Latitude/Longitude
East	41°53′59″E
West	33°55′46″E
South	4°40′33″S
North	4°15′41″ N




Figure 2: Map of the Republic of Kenya

1.13 Conditions Prior to Project Initiation



The condition prior to project initiation is the continued use of non-renewable wood fuel (firewood) by the target population to meet similar thermal energy needs as provided by project cookstoves in absence of project activity

1.14 Compliance with Laws, Statutes and Other Regulatory Frameworks

After searching, there are no laws and regulations about the application of improved cookstoves in Kenya households. The project is voluntarily implemented by the project proponent.

1.15 Participation under Other GHG Programs

1.15.1 Projects Registered (or seeking registration) under Other GHG Program(s)

The project has not been registered, nor is it seeking registration under any other GHG program.

1.15.2 Projects Rejected by Other GHG Programs

The project has not been rejected by any other GHG program.

1.16 Other Forms of Credit

1.16.1 Emissions Trading Programs and Other Binding Limits

The project is not included in an emissions trading program or any other mechanism that includes GHG allowance trading.

1.16.2 Other Forms of Environmental Credit

The project has not sought or received another form of GHG-related credit, including renewable energy certificates.

1.17 Sustainable Development Contributions

Before the implementation of the project, local people in the project location use non-renewable biomass for cooking with open fire or three-stone fire. The project will distribute fuel-efficient ICS to replace the baseline cookstoves in households. The project will enable and enhance households to achieve several sustainable development goals:

Goal 1: No poverty

Improved cookstove is a basic service necessary to lead a healthy and productive life, including saving time and money for wood fuel at the household level. The project proponent will distribute 100,000 ICSs, and the ICSs are produced in local factories. Hence the



implementation of the project will result in more job opportunities and higher income for local residents.

Goal 2: Zero hunger

The project will improve food security and nutrition status, particularly for children and women by reducing inadequate cooking, the burden of firewood collection, the time to prepare food, the need to buy firewood.

Goal 3: Good health and well-being

Most of non-renewable biomass local people used for cooking is firewood, which will generate high PM2.5 and high CO biomass smoke when incompletely burnt. By using ICS, it will reduce people's exposure to high PM2.5 and high CO due to higher efficiency of combustion leading to faster cooking and more complete combustion. It will also reduce the burn risk, significant to children and toddlers due to enclosure of the fire in the combustion chamber.

Goal 4: Quality education

The project will reduce the time spend on firewood collection for children, especially for girls, it will increase their time for education. The implementation of project needs plenty local people participate in production, distribution or use steps, they will get relevant skills and sustainable development and global citizenship education through training by project proponent.

Goal 5: Gender equality

The project will reduce women and children's drudgery through time savings in reducing time spent cutting, collecting, and carrying firewood from trees far removed from households and reduce time spent cooking over toxic smoky open fires. These tasks, if being undertaken without relief, are a major cause of gender inequality.

Goal 6: Clean water and sanitation

Clean cooking technology provides an essential tool to addressing energy poverty and ensuring sustainable energy security in approximately 100,000 Kenya households during the project lifecycle. It will also reduce the smoke and GHG emissions during water boiling.

Goal 7: Affordable and clean energy

The ICS distributed to Household is a clean cooking technology. The project will increase the proportion of population with primary reliance on clean cooking technology in project area.

Goal 8: Decent work and economic growth

The factories which produce ICS are local enterprises. They will hire more workers to produce ICSs for the project. During the project crediting period, the project proponent and its local



partners will in charge of maintenance and monitoring plan, which will create working opportunities for local people.

Goal 9: Industry, Innovation, and Infrastructure

The local factories which produce ICS for project are small-scale industries. They will expand production capacity to satisfy the needs. Thus, the upstream and downstream supply chain will benefit from the project. Many of the workers hired by the factories for the project were peasants before. So the project will increase manufacturing employment.

Goal 12: Responsible consumption and production

The project provides ICSs with high thermal efficiency to reduce the consumption of firewood. It helps achieve efficient use of nature resources, such as non-renewable biomass.

Goal 13: Climate action

The average annual GHG emission reduction from the project is expected to be 196,346 tCO₂e due to less non-renewable firewood combustion for cooking and heating in the households.

Goal 15: Life on land

The project will help local people consume less firewood as the ICS has higher thermal efficiency and it will result in a reduction of GHG emissions compared to the baseline scenario. It will also help reduce deforestation and protect biodiversity and natural habitats in Kenya.

1.18 Additional Information Relevant to the Project

Leakage Management

Not applicable as the project adopts a net gross adjustment factor of 95% to account for leakage.

Commercially Sensitive Information

No commercially sensitive information has been excluded from the public version of the project description.

Further Information

No further information.

2 SAFEGUARDS



2.1 No Net Harm

The project is applying to certify Sustainable Development Verified Impact Standard (SD VISta) Program too, please refer to SD VISta project description.

2.2 Local Stakeholder Consultation

The project is applying to certify Sustainable Development Verified Impact Standard (SD VISta) Program too, please refer to SD VISta project description.

2.3 Environmental Impact

The project is applying to certify Sustainable Development Verified Impact Standard (SD VISta) Program too, please refer to SD VISta project description.

2.4 Public Comments

The project is applying to certify Sustainable Development Verified Impact Standard (SD VISta) Program too, please refer to SD VISta project description.

2.5 AFOLU-Specific Safeguards

This section is not applicable as the project is a non-AFOLU project.

3 APPLICATION OF METHODOLOGY

3.1 Title and Reference of Methodology

Methodology:

VMR0006 Methodology for Installation of High Efficiency Firewood Cookstoves, Version: 1.1

AMS-II.G. Energy efficiency measures in thermal applications of non-renewable biomass, version 11.1

TOOL30: Calculation of the fraction of non-renewable biomass, version 03.0

3.2 Applicability of Methodology

The project activity meets each of the applicability conditions:

No.	Applicability criterion	How the project complies



1	Project activities shall be implemented in domestic premises, or in community-based kitchens.	The project activity will replace traditional wood-based stove (mainly three stone) in individual households only.
2	The project stove shall have specified high- power thermal efficiency of at least 25% per the manufacturer's specifications and shall exclusively use woody biomass and can be single pot or multi-pot; in case of project stove replacing fossil fuel baseline stove, it shall exclusively use renewable biomass.	The Jiko Kisasa improved cookstove has a specified high-power thermal efficiency of 27.2% as per the manufacturer's specifications. It uses non-renewable biomass only.
3	Both 'Projects' and 'Large Projects' can use this methodology.	Since the average annual GHG emission reduction from the project is expected to be 196,346 tCO ₂ e, it is a large project as per Paragraph 3.9.1 of VCS Standard (Version 4.2).
4	Non-renewable biomass has been used in the project region since 31 December 1989, using survey methods or referring to published literature, official reports or statistics.	According to Global Forest Resources Assessment 2010 Report of Kenya by Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations ¹ , the area of forests of Kenya in 1990, 2000, 2005 and 2010 respectively is 3708*10 ³ , 3582*10 ³ , 3522*10 ³ and 3467*10 ³ hectares, it has decreased 6.5% from 1990 to 2010. Since Kenya is a developing country in Africa, it is highly possible that non- renewable biomass has been used before 1990 across Kenya.
5	For the specific case of biomass residues processed as a fuel (e.g. briquettes, wood chips), it shall be demonstrated that: (a) It is produced using exclusively renewable biomass (more than one type of biomass may be used). (b) The consumption of the fuel should be monitored during the crediting period and (c) Energy use for renewable biomass processing (e.g.	The ICS is introduced as energy efficiency measure to replace baseline stoves and reduce the use of non-renewable biomass for combustion. The consumption of the fuel used in the project activity will be monitored. If briquettes utilization in project case the energy consumption for manufacturing of

 $^{^1\,}https://www.fao.org/forest-resources-assessment/past-assessments/fra-2010/country-reports/en/$



shredding and compacting in the case of briquetting) may be considered as equivalent to the upstream emissions associated with the processing of the displaced fossil fuel and hence disregarded.

briquettes and transportation of briquette will be monitored to calculate project emissions.

3.3 Project Boundary

Source		Gas	Included?	Justification/Explanation
	Emission from use of non-renewable	CO ₂	Yes	Major source
		CH ₄	Yes	Major source
	biomass/Fossil fuel	N_2O	Yes	Major source
Baseline	tuei	Other	No	No other source identified
Base		CO ₂	Yes	Can be a major source
	Production & Transport of Fuel	CH ₄	Yes	Can be a major source
		N_2O	Yes	Can be a major source
		Other	No	No other source identified
	Emission from use of nonrenewable biomass/Fossil fuel	CO ₂	Yes	Major source
		CH ₄	Yes	Major source
		N_2O	Yes	Major source
Project		Other	No	No other source identified
Pro	Production & Transport of Fuel	CO ₂	Yes	Can be a major source
		CH ₄	Yes	Can be a major source
		N ₂ O	Yes	Can be a major source
		Other	No	No other source identified

The project boundary is shown below:








Figure 3: Project boundary is across Kenya

A diagram of the energy flow in baseline boundary and project boundary is shown below:







3.4 Baseline Scenario

The baseline scenario is the continued use of non-renewable wood fuel (firewood) by the target population to meet similar thermal energy needs as provided by project cookstoves in absence of project activity.

3.5 Additionality

The methodology uses activity method for the demonstration of additionality.

Step 1: Regulatory Surplus

The project is not mandated by any law, statute or other regulatory framework in the host country, or for UNFCCC non-Annex I countries, any systematically enforced law, statute or other regulatory framework.

The project is implemented by Iceberg as well as its local partners and participated by local households voluntarily.



Step 2: Positive List

As per Section 3.2 of the PD, the project meets all the applicability conditions of the methodology which represent the positive list.

The ICSs are distributed at zero cost to local households. The project is not implemented as part of government schemes or supported by multilateral funds. Iceberg undertakes all the expenditures for project implementation and has no other source of revenue other than the sale of GHG credits.

Conclusion: As the project meets the conditions above, it is deemed additional as per the applied methodology.

3.6 Methodology Deviations

The project did not apply any methodology deviations.

4 QUANTIFICATION OF GHG EMISSION REDUCTIONS AND REMOVALS

4.1 Baseline Emissions

The applied methodology does not account for baseline emissions separately, but instead quantifies emission reductions as a function of the reduction in the amount of non-renewable biomass fuel consumption in the efficient project stoves as compared to baseline stoves. Please refer to Section 4.4 of the PD.

4.2 Project Emissions

The applied methodology does not account for project emissions separately, but instead quantifies emission reductions as a function of the reduction in the amount of non-renewable biomass fuel consumption in the efficient project stoves as compared to baseline stoves. Please refer to Section 4.4 of the PD.

4.3 Leakage

Leakage shall be considered as default 0.95 in accordance with the applied methodology.

4.4 Net GHG Emission Reductions and Removals

The project activity will replace traditional wood-based stove with ICS, therefore net GHG emission reductions are calculated by applying Equations 1 and 2.



$ER_{y} = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} ER_{y,i,j}$	Equation (1)
Where:	
i =	Indices for the situation where more than one type/model of improved cook stove is introduced to replace three-stone fire
j =	Indices for the situation where there is more than one batch of improved cook stove of type i
ER_{y} =	Emission reductions during year y in t CO ₂ e
$ER_{y,i,j} =$	Emission reductions by improved cook stove of type i and batch j during year y in t CO_2e
$ER_{y,i,j} = B_{y,saving,i,j}$	$\times f_{NRB,y} \times NCV_{wood\ fuel} \times \left(EF_{wf,CO2} + EF_{wf,non\ CO2}\right) \times N_{y,i,j} \times 0.95$ Equation (2)

Where:

 $B_{v.savina.i.i}$

– y,saving,i,j		stove of type i and batch j during year y
$f_{NRB,y}$	=	Fraction of woody biomass that can be established as non-renewable biomass (f_{NRB}). The value is calculated to be 0.9269.
NCV _{wood fuel}	=	Net calorific value of the non-renewable woody biomass that is substituted or reduced (IPCC default for wood fuel, 0.0156 TJ/tonne) ²
$EF_{wf,CO2}$	=	CO_2 emission factor for the use of wood fuel in baseline scenario (IPCC default for wood fuel, 112 $\text{tCO}_2/\text{TJ})^3$
$EF_{wf,non\ CO2}$	=	Non-CO $_2$ emission factor for the use of wood fuel in baseline scenario (IPCC default for wood fuel, 26.23 tCO $_2$ /TJ) 4
$N_{y,i,j}$	=	Number of improved cook stoves of type i and batch j operating during year y
0.95	=	Discount factor to account for leakage

Quantity of woody biomass that is saved in tonnes per improved cook

The quantify of woody biomass saved due to implementation of improved cook stoves can be estimated by one of the following options⁵ set out in Equations 3 and 4:

² AMS II.G. Version 11

³ 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories; Chapter 2 Stationary Combustion

⁴ 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories; Chapter 2 Stationary Combustion

 $^{^{5}}$ The option to determine the $B_{\nu,savings,i,j}$ shall be decided prior to validation of the project.



$$B_{y,savings,i,j} = B_{old} \times \left(1 - \frac{\eta_{old}}{\eta_{new,i,j}}\right)$$
 Equation (3)

$$B_{y,savings,i,j} = B_{y=1,new,i,survey} \times \left(\frac{\eta_{new,y,i,j}}{\eta_{old}} - 1\right)$$
 Equation (4)

Where:

Annual quantity of woody biomass that would have been used in the B_{old} absence of the project activity (in tonnes per device) to generate useful thermal energy equivalent to that provided by the improved

cook stove. The value of Bold can be sourced from historical data or baseline surveys. Alternatively, a default value of 0.5t/capita/year

may be used.

Efficiency of baseline cookstove. The value is assumed to be 11%. η_{old}

Efficiency of the improved cook stove type i and batch j determined $\eta_{new,y,i,j}$ through water boiling test (WBT). Alternatively, efficiency may be

determined using Equation 5.

Annual quantity of woody biomass used by improved cook stoves in $B_{y=1,new,i,survey}$ tonnes per device of type i and batch j, determined in the first year of the implementation of the project through a sample survey. The value is assumed to be 3.19 kg/device/day or equal to 1.16 tonnes/device/year.

We choose equation 4 to estimate the quantify of woody biomass saved due to implementation of improved cook stoves.

$$\eta_{new,y,i,j} = \eta_p \times (DF_n)^{y-1} \times 0.94$$
 Equation (5)

Where:

Efficiency of project stove (fraction) at the start of project activity; the η_p

value is 27.2% as per the specifications provided by manufacturer

 $(DF_n)^{y-1}$ Discount factor to account for efficiency loss of project cookstove per year of operation (fraction). This value may be based on actual

monitoring or based on manufacturer's declaration on expected loss in efficiency or through publicly available literature on relevant industry standards. Alternatively default value of 0.99 efficiency loss

per year can be considered.

0.94 Adjustment factor to account for uncertainty related to project

cookstove efficiency test



The Equation 6 in the applied methodology is used when the project households continue to use baseline cookstoves along with improved cookstoves. Since only the firewood used in the ICSs implemented by the project will be taken into account for calculation of emission reductions, the Equation 6 is not applicable in PD for ex-ante estimation.

The Equation 7 and 8 in the applied methodology is used for project stoves replacing fossil fuel with renewable biomass. The project involves replacing low thermal efficient wood fuel combusted cookstoves with high thermal efficient wood fuel combusted cookstoves only. Relatively rich households who can afford fossil fuel will not be involved in the donation project. Thus Equation 7 and 8 are not applicable.

For ex-ante calculation purpose, the assumption below is applied.

 η_p =27.2%;

 DF_n =0.99;

Therefore, $\eta_{nwe,y,i,j}$ is calculated as below:

Age(y)	$\eta_{\mathrm{nwe,y,i,j}}$
1	25.57%
2	25.31%
3	25.06%
4	24.81%
5	24.56%
6	24.31%
7	24.07%

The project will install up to 100,000 ICSs in 2 years, hence 50,000 ICSs each year. The life span of ICS is 7 years. In the sixth year of the ICSs installed, the project proponent will provide a new ICS to Households or repair existing ICS without cost, so that households will have access to them for the full crediting period. The annual loss rate is assumed to be 10%.

Therefore, the $N_{y,i,j}$ and $\eta_{nwe,y,i,j}$ are estimated as below:

First-time Distribution

	ICSs installed in year 1		ICSs install	led in year 2
Year(y)	$N_{y,i,j}$	$\eta_{\text{new},y,i,j}$	$N_{y,i,j}$	$\eta_{\text{new},y,i,j}$
1	50000	25.57%		
2	45000	25.31%	50000	25.57%
3	40000	25.06%	45000	25.31%
4	35000	24.81%	40000	25.06%



5	30000	24.56%	35000	24.81%
6	25000	24.31%	30000	24.56%
7	0	24.07%	25000	24.31%

Second-time Distribution

ı	6	50000	25.57%	0	0.00%
	7	45000	25.31%	50000	25.57%
	8	40000	25.06%	45000	25.31%
	9	35000	24.81%	40000	25.06%
	10	30000	24.56%	35000	24.81%

 $B_{y=1,new,i,survey}$ = 3.19*365/1000=1.16 tonnes/device/year according to ex-ante estimation.

The expected emission reduction is as below:

Year(y)	ICSs installed in year 1	ICSs installed in year 2	Total
1	73,201	0	73,201
2	129,448	73,201	202,649
3	113,030	129,448	242,478
4	97,138	113,030	210,168
5	81,765	97,138	178,903
6	106,652	81,765	188,417
7	129,448	106,652	236,100
8	113,030	129,448	242,478
9	97,138	113,030	210,168
10	81,765	97,138	178,903

The applied methodology does not account for baseline and project emissions separately, but instead quantifies emission reductions as a function of the reduction in the amount of non-renewable biomass fuel consumption in the efficient project stoves as compared to baseline stoves. The ex-ante calculation in the table below.

Year	Estimated baseline emissions or removals (tCO 2e)	Estimated project emissions or removals (tCO 2e)	Estimated leakage emissions (tCO ₂ e)	Estimated net GHG emission reductions or removals (tCO 2e)
Year 2022	N.A.	N.A.	0	73,201



Year 2023	N.A.	N.A.	0	202,649
Year 2024	N.A.	N.A.	0	242,478
Year 2025	N.A.	N.A.	0	210,168
Year 2026	N.A.	N.A.	0	178,903
Year 2027	N.A.	N.A.	0	188,417
Year 2028	N.A.	N.A.	0	236,100
Year 2029	N.A.	N.A.	0	242,478
Year 2030	N.A.	N.A.	0	210,168
Year 2031	N.A.	N.A.	0	178,903
Total	N.A.	N.A.	0	1,963,464

5 MONITORING

5.1 Data and Parameters Available at Validation

Data / Parameter	$f_{NRB,y}$
Data unit	Fraction
Description	Fraction of woody biomass saved by the project activity during year y that can be established as non-renewable biomass
Source of data	Calculated
Value applied	0.9269
Justification of choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures applied	As per the "TOOL30: Calculation of the fraction of non-renewable biomass, version 3.0". Please refer to Kenya f_{NRB} calculation sheet.
Purpose of Data	Calculation of emission reductions
Comments	

Data / Parameter	NCV _{wood fuel}
Data unit	TJ/tonne



Description	Net calorific value of the non-renewable woody biomass that is substituted or reduced
Source of data	2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories; Volume 2 Energy, Chapter 1 Introduction: Table 1.2 Default net calorific values (NCVs) and lower and upper limits of the 95 percent confidence intervals.
Value applied	0.0156
Justification of choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures applied	IPCC default value
Purpose of Data	Calculation of emission reductions
Comments	

Data / Parameter	$EF_{wf,CO2}$
Data unit	tCO ₂ /TJ
Description	CO ₂ emission factor for the use of wood fuel in baseline scenario
Source of data	2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories; Volume 2 Energy, Chapter 2 Stationary Combustion: Table 2.5 Default emission factors for stationary combustion in the residential and agriculture/forestry/fishing/fishing farms categories.
Value applied	112
Justification of choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures applied	IPCC default value
Purpose of Data	Calculation of emission reductions
Comments	

Data / Parameter	$EF_{wf, \text{non } CO2}$
Data unit	tCO ₂ /TJ
Description	Non-CO ₂ emission factor for the use of wood fuel in baseline scenario



Source of data	2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories; Volume 2 Energy, Chapter 2 Stationary Combustion
Value applied	26.23
Justification of choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures applied	IPCC default value
Purpose of Data	Calculation of emission reductions
Comments	

Data / Parameter	η_{old}
Data unit	Fraction
Description	Efficiency of baseline cookstove
Source of data	 Default value: 0.1 or 0.2; or Surveyed prior to implementation of project activity
Value applied	0.11 for ex-ante estimate, it will be fixed after baseline survey
Justification of choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures applied	 (a) A default value of 0.1 shall be used if baseline device is a three-stone fire using firewood (not charcoal), or a conventional device with no improved combustion air supply or flue gas ventilation, that is without a grate or a chimney. (b) A default value of 0.2 shall be used for other types of devices. (c) If more than one type of baseline device is being replaced in the project region, weighted average values (taking the amount of woody biomass consumed by each device as the weighting factor) shall be used. (d) If this parameter is surveyed, project promoters may use simplified guidelines stated under Option (b) in Section 8.4
Durnoss of Data	above for arriving at the minimum sample size. Calculation of emission reductions
Purpose of Data	Odiculation of Citiosion reductions
Comments	

Data / Parameter	η_p
Data unit	Fraction



Description	Efficiency of project stove at the start of project activity.
Source of data	Manufacturer's specification
Value applied	27.2%
Justification of choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures applied	This parameter shall be determined ex-ante
Purpose of Data	Calculation of emission reductions
Comments	

Data / Parameter	$\eta_{new,y,i,j}$			
Data unit	Fraction			
Description		Efficiency of the improved cookstove type i and batch j implemented as part of the project activity		
Source of data	Calculation	ı		
Description of measurement methods and procedures to be applied	To adopt Option V given in the methodology: Efficiency of the improved cookstoves to be estimated using equation 4 above where loss in efficiency per year is calculated, and therefore this parameter does not need to be monitored			
Frequency of monitoring/recording	Annually			
Value applied				_
		Age(y)	$\eta_{\text{nwe,y,i,j}}$	
		1	25.57%	
		2	25.31%	
		3	25.06%	
		4	24.81%	
		5	24.56%	
		6	24.31%	
		7	24.07%	



Monitoring equipment	
QA/QC procedures to be applied	
Purpose of data	Calculation of emission reductions
Calculation method	Equation 5 above:
	$\eta_{\text{new},y,i,j} = \eta_p \times (DF_n)^{y-1} \times 0.94$
Comments	

5.2 Data and Parameters Monitored

Data / Parameter	$N_{y,i,j}$
Data unit	Number
Description	Number of project devices of type i and batch j operating during year y
Source of data	Monitoring
Description of measurement methods and procedures to be applied	Measured directly or based on a representative sample. Sampling standard shall be used for determining the sample size to achieve 90/10 confidence precision according to the latest version of Standard for sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programme of activities.
Frequency of monitoring/recording	At least once every two years
Value applied	For ex-ante emission reduction calculation, it is assumed that the project will distribute up to 100,000 ICSs and the installation/distribution of ICSs to be implemented in 2 years with each year comprises of 50,000 ICSs.
Monitoring equipment	Monitoring survey
QA/QC procedures to be applied	



Purpose of data	Calculation of emission reductions
Calculation method	Proportion of operational stoves obtained from the survey is multiplied by the total commissioned stoves to arrive at this value
Comments	

Data / Parameter	$B_{y=1,\text{new,i,j,survey}}$
Data unit	tonnes
Description	Quantity of woody biomass used by project devices in tonnes per device of type i
Source of data	Survey
Description of measurement methods and procedures to be applied	Minimum sample size of each type i and batch j should be in line with the latest version of Standard for sampling and surveys for project activities and programmes of activities or guidelines provided in section 8.4 option (b).
	Determined in the first year of the introduction of the devices (e.g., during the first year of the crediting period, y=1) through measurement campaigns at representative households and/or sample survey. Sample surveys to estimate this parameter, that are solely based on questionnaires or interviews (i.e. that do not implement measurement campaigns) may only be used if the following conditions are satisfied:
	(a) Baseline cookstoves have been completely decommissioned and only improved cookstoves are exclusively used in the project households;
	(b) If multiple devices are used in the project, it is possible from the results of the survey questions to clearly differentiate the quantity of firewood being used by each device. In other words, if more than one device, or another device that consumes firewood, are in use in project households, then the sample survey needs to distinguish the quantity of firewood used by the project device and the other devices that use firewood.
Frequency of monitoring/recording	Determined in the first year of project implementation



Value applied	For ex-ante emission reduction calculation, it is assumed as 3.19kg/device/day, equal to 1.16 tonnes/device/year.
Monitoring equipment	Monitoring survey
QA/QC procedures to be applied	
Purpose of data	Calculation of emission reductions
Calculation method	
Comments	

Data / Parameter	Life span	
Data unit	Years	
Description	Project promoters to state the operating lifetime of project device for projects opting Equation 5 for determining project stove efficiency.	
Source of data	Manufacturer's specification	
Description of measurement methods and procedures to be applied		
Frequency of monitoring/recording	Once at the time of Project stove installation	
Value applied	7	
Monitoring equipment		
QA/QC procedures to be applied		
Purpose of data	Calculation of emission reductions	
Calculation method		



Comments

5.3 Monitoring Plan

The local partners of Iceberg (i.e. project proponent) are in charge of the implementation of the monitoring plan and reporting to the project proponent. The project proponent is in charge of designing the monitoring plan and completing the monitoring report. Sampling survey will be applied for monitoring.

(a) Sampling Plan

As per the Standard for Sampling and Surveys for CDM Project Activities and Programmes of Activities, Version 09.0:

Sampling design

(1) Objectives and reliability requirements

The objective is determining the value of parameter $N_{y,i,j}$ and $B_{y=1,new,i,survey}$ during the crediting period, and with a 90/10 confidence/precision compliance with the applied methodology.

The following parameters may be determined by sampling:

Parameter	Description	Frequency
$N_{y,i,j}$	Number of project devices of type i and batch j operating during year y	Biennial
$B_{y=1,new,i,survey}$	Quantity of woody biomass used by project devices in tonnes per device of type i and batch j	Determined in the first year of project implementation

(2) Target population

The target population will be the complete set of appliances (ICS) deployed under the project.

(3) Sampling method and size

As per the applied methodology, the following guidelines will be applied to calculate the sample size:

- Project target population < 300: Minimum sample size 30
- Project target population 300 1000: Minimum sample size 10% of group size
- Project target population > 1000: Minimum sample size 100

(b) Data to be collected



Besides the above parameters, the following data need to be collected as per the applied methodology:


- Date of distribution
- Geographic area of distribution
- Model/type of project technology distributed
- Quantity of project technologies distributed
- Name and telephone number (if available), and address of recipient
- unique identification alpha/numeric ID for each device that is sold/distributed

The information collected will be stored in the electronic database for 2 years after the end of crediting period.

Quality assurance/Quality control

Training about monitoring plan will be provided to local partners, including survey method, data record and analysis. The monitoring plan will be carried out by qualified personnel trained for quality assurance and quality control. The project proponent will inspect local partners to confirm that the personnel are qualified and the monitoring plan has been properly implemented. The data collected may be cross checked by the project proponent or a third-party organization.

The organizational structure for monitoring is shown as the bellow:



(c) Implementation plan

The main survey methods applied in the sampling plan include hardcopy questionnaires, online questionnaires, face to face interview and telephone interview. The potential of refusals and other means of non-responses will be taken into account for calculation of sample size. Meanwhile, in order to minimize the rates of non-response and answer bias, the questionnaires will be designed by professional team and widely tested before use.

Any non-conformances with the validated monitoring plan will be recorded and analysed. If they are in accordance with the applied methodology and other related rules, a change may be conducted in the validated monitoring plan. Otherwise, revision and improvement will be



conducted in the monitoring. The related ERs will not be claimed in the monitoring report until the non-conformance has been corrected in the latter case.